

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/894,204	06/28/2001	Patrick D. Perkins	10010466-1	7103
75	12/19/2003		EXAM	INER
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			NGUYEN, KIET TUAN	
Legal Department, DL429 Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 7599			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2881	100
Loveland, CO	80537-0599		DATE MAILED: 12/19/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	r-					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	09/894,204	PERKINS, PATRICK D.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Kiet T. Nguyen	2881				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	.136(a). In no event, however, ply within the statutory minimun I will apply and will expire SIX ( te, cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed  n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  some ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25.5</u>	<u>September 2003</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) 1-24 are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	awn from consideratio					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer  2. Certified copies of the priority documer  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea  * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes since a specific reference was included in the fi 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language priority acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the second content of of the sec	nts have been receivents have been receivents have been receive ority documents have au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) at of the certified copie oric priority under 35 U irst sentence of the spector or the spector	d. d in Application No been received in this National Stage best not received. S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) becification or in an Application Data Sheet. has been received. S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) 🔲 Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:				

Application/Control Number: 09/894,204

Art Unit: 2881

## Restriction/Election

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-11, 13-14, 16-17, 19-20 and 22-23, drawn to an ionization chamber, classified in class 250, subclass 423R.
- II. Claims 12, 15, 18, 21 and 24, drawn to a method using a mass analyzer for analyzing ions produced from an ionization chamber, classified in class 250, subclass 282.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination as Group I reciting the structure of an ion chamber for producing ions, which can used to treat a device in a system for example a focusing ion beam system or an ion implantation system. The subcombination has separate utility such as Group II reciting a method using a mass analyzer for analyzing ions produced from an ion source in a mass spectrometer apparatus.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 2881

A telephone call was made to Bret E. Field on 12-12-2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner *Kiet T. Nguyen* whose telephone number is (703) 308-4855.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9318.

*K.T.N/Primary* 12/14/03

KIET T. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 3